Exhibit A

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION
4	OPIATE LITIGATION MDL No. 2804
5	This document relates to: Case No. 17-md-2804
6	Jennifer Artz v. Endo Health Judge Dan Aaron Polster
7	Solutions Inc., et al.
8	Case No. 1:19-OP-45459
9	Darren and Elena Flanagan v.
10	McKesson Corporation, et al.
11	Case No. 1:18-OP-45405
12	Michelle Frost, et al., v.
13	Endo Health Solutions Inc.,
14	et al.
15	Case No. 1:18-OP-46327
16	Walter and Virginia Salmons,
17	et al., v. McKesson
18	Corporation, et al.
19	Case No. 1:18-OP-45268
20	
21	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
22	DR. KANWALJEET ANAND, M.D.
23	January 28, 2020
24	Chicago, Illinois

```
Page 2
    A P P E A R A N C E S:
1
 2
 3
    ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS:
    THOMPSON BARNEY LAW FIRM
4
 5
         2030 Kanawha Boulevard, East
         Charleston, West Virginia 25311
6
7
         304.343.4401
    BY: KEVIN W. THOMPSON, ESQ.
8
9
         kwthompson@gmail.com
    - also -
10
11
         THE BILEK LAW FIRM, L.L.P.
12
         700 Louisiana, Suite 3950
13
         Houston, Texas 77002
         713.227.7720
14
15
    BY: THOMAS E. BILEK, ESQ.
         tbilek@bileklaw.com
16
17
    - also -
18
    MARTZELL, BICKFORD & CENTOLA
19
          338 Lafayette Street
20
         New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
21
         504.581.9065
    BY: SCOTT R. BICKFORD, ESQ.
22
         srb@mbfirm.com
23
24
```

```
Page 3
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
1
 2
3
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT MCKESSON CORPORATION:
          SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
4
         Jamboree Center
5
6
         5 Park Plaza, Suite 1600
7
          Irvine, California 02614-8502
         949.475.1500
8
9
    BY: MICHELLE M. FUJIMOTO, ESQ.
         mjfujimoto@shb.com
10
11
    - also -
         COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
12
13
         One CityCenter
14
         850 Tenth Street, NW
15
         Washington, DC 20001-4956
         202.662.6000
16
17
    BY: EMILY S. ULLMAN, ESQ.
18
         eullman@cov.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 4
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
1
 2
3
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT H.D. SMITH:
         BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
4
         11 South Meridian Street
5
6
         Indianapolis, Indiana46204-3535
7
         317.236.1313
    BY: KATHLEEN L. MATSOUKAS, ESQ.
8
9
         kmatsoukas@btlaw.com
10
11
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT ALLERGAN:
         KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
12
         300 North LaSalle
13
14
         Chicago, Illinois 60654
15
         312.862.2000
16
    BY: MARIA PELLEGRINO RIVERA, ESQ.
      mrivera@kirkland.com
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 5
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
1
 2
 3
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS MALLINCKRODT LLP and
     SPECGX LLC:
 4
          ROPES & GRAY LLP
 5
         Prudential Tower
 6
 7
          800 Boylston Street
          Boston, Massachusetts 0219903600
8
9
          617.951.7000
    BY: JENNIFER PANTINA, ESQ.
10
11
          jennifer.pantina@ropesgray.com
12
13
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA
14
     and RELATED ENTITIES:
15
          BLANK ROME
16
          One Logan Square
          130 North 18th Street
17
          Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
18
19
          215.569.5500
20
    BY: TERRY M. HENRY, ESQ.
21
          thenry@blankrome.com
          LAUREN O'DONNELL, ESQ.
22
23
          (Telephonic appearance)
24
          odonnell@blankrome.com
```

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

```
Page 6
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
1
2
3
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT CARDINAL HEALTH:
          WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
4
5
          725 Twelfth Street NW
          Washington, DC 20005
6
7
          202.434.5000
    BY: MICHAEL R. FISHMAN, ESQ.
8
         mfishman@wc.com
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 7
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
1
 2
 3
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT WALGREENS:
         BARTLIT BECK LLP
4
         Courthouse Place
 5
6
         54 West Hubbard Street, Suite 300
 7
          Chicago, IL 60654
         312.494.4400
8
9
    BY: SHARON DESH, ESQ.
      sharon.desh@bartlitbeck.com
10
11
    ON BEHALF OF ENDO and PARR DEFENDANTS:
12
13
         ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
14
         250 West 55th Street
15
         New York, New York 10019-9710
         212.836.8000
16
17
    BY: ANGELA R. VICARI, ESQ.
          angela.vicari@arnoldporter.com
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 8
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
1
 2
 3
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT AMERISOURCEBERGEN:
          REED SMITH LLP
4
 5
          Three Logan Square
          1717 Arch Street, Suite 3100
6
 7
          Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
         215.851.8100
8
9
    BY: JENNIFER B. JORDAN, ESQ.
         jennifer.jordan@reedsmith.com
10
11
          KRISTEN ASHE, ESQ.
          kashe@reedsmith.com
12
13
14
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT WALMART:
          JONES DAY
15
16
         77 West Wacker, Suite 3500
17
          Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692
         312.782.3939
18
19
    BY: NICOLE C. HENNING, ESQ.
20
         nhenning@jonesday.com
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 9
    APPEARANCES: (Continued)
1
 2
 3
    ON BEHALF OF THE RITE AID DEFENDANTS:
         MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 4
         77 West Wacker Drive
 5
         Chicago, Illinois 60601-5094
 6
 7
         312.324.1000
    BY: GREGORY T. FOUTS, ESQ.
8
         (Telephonic appearance)
9
         gregory.fouts@morganlewis.com
10
11
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS GIANT EAGLE and HBC:
12
13
         MARCUS & SHAPIRA LLP
14
         301 Grant Street, 35th Floor
15
         One Oxford Centre
         Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219-6401
16
         412.471.3490
17
18
    BY: MATTHEW MAZGAJ, ESQ.
19
         (Telephonic appearance)
20
         mazqaj@marcus-shapira.com
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 10
    A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
1
 2
 3
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PRESCRIPTION SUPPLY, INC.
         FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
4
          2700 Kelly Road, Suite 300
 5
          Warrington, Pennsylvania 18976
 6
 7
          215.345.7500
    BY: STEPHANIE B. FINEMAN, ESQ.
8
9
         (Telephonic appearance)
          sfineman@foxrothschild.com
10
11
    ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS JANSSEN and JOHNSON &
12
13
    JOHNSON:
14
          O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
15
          400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor
         Los Angeles, California 90071-2899
16
         213.430.6000
17
18
    BY: HOUMAN EHSAN, MD, ESQ.
         hehsan@omm.com
19
2.0
21
22
23
    ALSO PRESENT:
         Mr. Kevin Duncan, Videographer
24
```



Page 55 until you get there. 1 2 Α. Yes. And it has an execution date of 3 Q. December 8, 2019, correct? 4 That is correct. 5 Is this document a significant work 6 7 product you submitted in this litigation in December of 2019? 8 9 Α. That is correct. When submitting this declaration, 1.0 Ο. 11 did you have an understanding of what were the 12 requirements, not the subject matter but the 13 requirements of what goes in -- into the 14 declaration? 15 Α. Yes, I did. Okay. What were your understandings 16 0. of those requirements? 17 18 My understanding was that this 19 declaration was requested in order to define a 2.0 class of individuals that had been damaged due 21 to opioid exposure during their prenatal period through use by the mother, by the birth mother. 22 Is this declaration intended to be a 23 complete statement of all the opinions you 24

Page 56 intend to express related to NAS as risk 1 2 factors and as long-term consequences? 3 Α. That is correct. And -- I apologize, go ahead. Ο. 5 I'd just like to direct your attention to the last paragraph of this 6 declaration saying that: 7 With the Court's permission, I would 8 9 like to reserve the right to update this report in order to reflect the accumulating scientific 10 11 and medical evidence as necessary. 12 Q. I appreciate the clarification, 13 Doctor. 14 At the time you submitted this 15 declaration, was it intended to be complete as of that point in time? 16 17 Yes, it is. Α. 18 Do you have opinions you have formed but chosen about NAS, its risk factors and it's 19 20 long-term consequences, that you have chosen 21 not to include in this declaration? 22 No. For the most part, this is an 23 accurate summary of my opinions. And let me ask the question slightly 24 Q.

Page 57 differently: 1 2 Are there any opinions you've 3 already formed and intend to provide that you chose not to include in this declaration? 4 I have reviewed additional evidence 5 that I became aware of and provided that 6 7 evidence as of January 24th, so other than its relationship to the content of this 8 9 declaration, there was, you know, perhaps minor changes, mostly semantic or of a minor nature 10 11 that may have occurred in the light of that new 12 evidence. The January 24, 2020, submission 13 that you're speaking of had substance additions 14 15 from your December 2019 declaration? So the declaration itself has not 16 Α. been changed, but the additional evidence that 17 18 I have reviewed may have affected my opinions to a minor degree. 19 2.0 Have you thought about whether or not -- strike that. 21 22 Let me ask the question differently: 23 Have you thought about how the 24 additional evidence in 2020 has impacted any

Page 58 specific opinions you've given in your 1 December 2019 declaration? 2 3 Α. As I stated, this was probably of a minor nature, simply confirming or adding 4 additional references, which was 5 related -- which is reported in those five 6 documents that I had e-mailed to counsel on the 7 24th. 8 9 MR. BILEK: And for the record, I e-mailed them to Emily that day. 10 11 MR. EHSAN: Understood. BY MR. EHSAN: 12 13 I'm not suggesting that you did not provide additional literature but my question 14 15 was simply: To the extent you know that those 16 17 five articles have changed any of your 18 opinions, sitting here today, can you articulate that? Or you may not know how it's 19 20 changed any of your opinions. I'm just asking 21 that question more generally. 22 Yeah, so, in general, like I said, there has been no substantial change in my 23 24 opinions. Some of those opinions have been

- validated and confirmed by the accumulating data.
- Q. To the extent that you have references in this declaration and you provided some additional supporting material, does that collective body of citations represent a complete list of all the external, meaning not in your head from your training, information you intend to rely on in supporting the opinions you provide?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. Did you consider any facts or data outside what's listed in your declaration in forming your opinions?
- A. Other than what's listed in the references of this document, I relied on my clinical experience.
- Q. You didn't perform any data analysis that's not identified in this declaration; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you provide -- let me strike that.
- In connection with preparing your

* * *

Page 77 neonatal opioid withdrawal syndrome are terms 1 2 used to denote a group of problems that occur 3 in children who are exposed to opioids or opiate drugs in the mother's womb. 5 Do you see that? Α. Yes. 6 7 What is your understanding of the 0. distinction between NAS and NOWS? 8 9 Α. They're essentially the same thing. There are -- they describe a clinical diagnosis 1.0 11 manifesting the signs and symptoms of opiate withdrawal. 12 13 Are opioids the only class of 14 medication that can cause an abstinence 15 syndrome in a child? No, there are other classes of drugs 16 Α. 17 that can cause an abstinence syndrome. 18 Ο. And those abstinence syndromes, 19 would they present in a clinically unique way 2.0 that's distinguishable from opioid withdrawal 21 syndrome in a neonate? 22 Yes, to a great extent, they would. 23 Are there any other characteristics Ο. that overlap between abstinence syndrome from 24

opioids and abstinence syndrome from some other drug of abuse?

A. There may be some overlap.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. So just because a neonate is diagnosed with NAS doesn't necessarily mean the birth mother had mild, moderate or severe OUD, correct?
- A. So the birth mother may not have an opioid use disorder, may have been prescribed opiates for a particular condition, which then exposed the fetus to significant levels and durations of opiates and resulted in NAS manifesting after birth.
- Q. The diagnostic approach to a neonate and whether or not that neonate has NAS is distinct from the diagnostic approach to the mother and whether the mother has OUD, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Do you have, sitting here today, an opinion as to what the minimum exposure would be necessary to cause a neonate to undergo an abstinence syndrome from the maternal exposure to an opioid?
 - A. There is no minimum exposure.



Q. Now, you mentioned this is a clinical diagnosis.

Do you -- is that to distinguish it from a laboratory diagnosis or a radiological diagnosis?

A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. So, for example, in diabetes, if you have two hemoglobin A1Cs greater than 6 1/2 and 3 months apart that would be sufficient to make the diagnosis of diabetes, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And here, you want 8 numbers on here or a total score of 8, at least four hours apart though we are not sure how -- what the other end of the spectrum is, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. You have to get the 8 points the same way, i.e., do you have to check off the same boxes in that 4-hour interval?
- A. No, no. The way this is set up is -- is you reach a score of 8 because the pattern of NAS changes as time goes on.
- Q. So you may, at Time Interval 1, you may score 8, let's say with just a GI -- well,

Page 267 yeah, you get to just a GI stuff. You could 1 2 score an 8 just for the GI stuff, GI 3 symptomatology, and on Time Interval 2, you could score 8 for the central nervous system 4 disturbances? 5 Α. Yeah. 6 7 Now, I'm just going to specifically ask about a couple of these. Here's a -- the 8 9 first one is high-pitched cry. Do you see that? 10 11 Yes, I do. Α. 12 Is that specific to opioid 13 withdrawal? 14 It is indicative. It's not 15 pathognomonic. It's not -- you can get a high-pitched cry from, say, other conditions, 16 17 like there's a Cri du chat syndrome, which is a genetic disorder which has a high-pitched cry, 18 or there are other conditions that lead to a 19 20 high-pitched cry. 21 Q. Sleeping less than an hour after feeding, is that specific to opioid withdrawal? 22 No, it's not specific to opioid 23 24 withdrawal.

Page 268 How about sleeping less than two 1 2 hours after feeding? 3 Α. Not specific either. How about sleeping greater than 4 Ο. three hours after feeding? 5 Α. That is not specific either. 6 7 Fever of -- so going down to the next section, Metabolic Disturbances, fever of 8 9 37 point -- or 38.3, is that something you can -- a child can have without being exposed 1.0 11 to opioids? Yes, they can. 12 Α. 13 Fever greater than 38.4? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. How about nasal stuffiness? 16 Α. Yes, they can have that from some 17 other cause. 18 Can a child sneeze greater than 19 three to four times without having been exposed 20 to opioids? 21 Yes, they can. 22 How about -- how about nasal 0. 23 flaring? They can have nasal flaring from 24 Α.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

other causes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. There's in fact a series of diseases that a mother can pass on to a child that are pneumonically called the TORCH syndromes, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And some of those TORCH syndromes could also cause some of the symptoms that are described here, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So is it possible for a child without any opioid exposure, by just having the right combination of symptoms, and putting aside the likelihood of whether that occurs or not, but is it possible for a child to hit 8 points on this scale without ever having been exposed to opioids?
 - A. It is possible, yes.

MR. EHSAN: So I don't have any more questions for you, Doctor. I appreciate your time and your patience with me today.

I will only say that -- that I've been told that we are going to get a copy of your -- an additional publication that was from



VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.